Splay–density coupling in semiflexible main-chain nematic polymers with hairpins
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A main-chain nematic polymer melt/solution exhibits macroscopic orientational order of main polymer chains, i.e., a preferred (nematic) direction. It has long been known that in such polymeric liquid crystals spatial density/concentration variations and distortions of the nematic direction are coupled, obeying a vectorial continuity constraint whose rigidity increases with chain length. Its vectorial nature precludes the application to flexible chains, where backfolds (hairpins) are present and apolar nematic symmetry is manifest, which has been its puzzling feature from the beginning. We now establish a description of the splay–density coupling in the case of arbitrary backfolding, devising a continuity constraint for the “recovered” polar order of the chain tangents and introducing hairpins as its new type of sources. Performing detailed Monte Carlo simulations of nematic monodomain melts of “soft” worm-like chains with variable length and flexibility, we show via their structure factors that the weakening of the coupling due to the backfolding can be consistently quantified on the macroscopic level.

1 Introduction

Formal description of line liquids1–3 differs fundamentally from hydrodynamic description of isotropic and ordinary nematic liquids since the connectivity of the oriented lines stipulates an additional explicit macroscopic constraint.4,5 This is true for equilibrium or living main-chain polymers, self-assembled molecular chains as well as worm-like micelles, whose consistent description implies a conservation law stemming directly from their unbroken connectivity. The exact nature and form of this conservation law proposed independently by de Gennes and Meyer, received recently a renewed scrutiny6 that uncovered its deeper structure and important consequences missed in the previous analysis. In fact, the consequences of this conservation law trickle all the way down to fundamental macroscopic, observable properties such as structure factors and coarse-grained order parameters7 as in, e.g., the ordered and/or confined phases of DNA8–11 or technological main-chain polymers like Kevlar fibres, Vectra and air force polymers.

In this contribution, we address the central issue of taking into account the backfolding of the polymer chain and systematically including its effects in a macroscopic conservation law. We show how such continuity equation can be articulated and how it enters the coarse-grained free energy of a nematic polymer with arbitrary chain backfolding. Specifically, we quantify the corresponding phenomenological coupling strength.12 By comparing detailed simulations based on a recently developed mesoscopic model13 with the predictions of the coarse-grained free-energy description augmented by the new conservation law, we derive an explicit form of the coupling strength that takes into account the nematic order as well as the density of hairpin folds.14,15

It has been recognized a while ago1,2,4,5,12,16–20 that the connectivity of the polymer chain manifests itself on the macroscopic level as a constraint on the continuum fields (i.e., order parameter and density/concentration) describing the coarse-grained polymer configuration. If the preferred direction is splayed (as e.g. when unfolding a handheld fan) in a system of long chains, Fig. 1, there are not many chain ends available to fill the so-generated voids between the chains. Consequently, the chain density decreases. For the nematic director field \( \mathbf{n}(r) \), this constraint was written in form of a conservation law4

\[
\nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{n}) = \rho^+ - \rho^-,
\]

where \( \rho_r(r) \) is the surface density or concentration of polymer chains perforating the plane perpendicular to \( \mathbf{n}(r) \) and \( \rho^+(r) \) and \( \rho^-(r) \) are volume densities of the beginnings and endings of
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chains acting as sources in this continuity equation for the “polymer current” $\rho_{\text{a}}\mathbf{n}$.

As shown recently within a more formal framework, a rigorous continuity equation for the full order vector $\mathbf{a}(r)$,

$$\nabla \cdot (\rho_{\text{a}} \mathbf{a}) = \rho^+ - \rho^-,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\rho(r)$ is now the volume number density of arbitrary segments (e.g. monomers) of length $\ell_0$ with that, $\rho = \rho_0 |\mathbf{a}|$. Eqn (2) represents a conservation law for the polymer current $\mathbf{j} = \rho_0 \mathbf{a}$, where it is clear by construction (see the Appendix) that $\mathbf{a}(r) = (t)$ is exactly the polar order of polymer chain tangents $t$. It moreover follows that eqn (1) is a special case of eqn (2) for $|\mathbf{a}| = \text{const.}$ and is therefore of the same, polar type, where $\mathbf{n}$ cannot be anything but a polar(!!) preferred direction.

Notwithstanding the inconvenient fact that nematic ordering is apolar and does not exhibit a polar quantity like $\mathbf{a}$, the constraint eqn (1) has been readily applied to main-chain nematic polymers. Usually, the argument that hairpins (sharp, ideally point-like $180^\circ$ turns of the chain) be absent has been invoked to circumvent the problem of the vanishing order vector and validate the use of the director $\mathbf{n}$, while it has been at the same time recognized theoretically that hairpins act as chain ends and their density defines an effective length of the chains. Moreover, the behavior of macroscopic observables in recent simulations of polymer nematics was consistent with eqn (1), provided that the influence of hairpins was not significant. For longer chains with moderate backfolding the constraint however showed weak, qualitative signs of saturation.

1.1 Recovered polar order

Recently, it has been indicated that the vectorial conservation eqn (2) could be consistently applied to a nematic polymer with arbitrary number of hairpins or finite-size backfolds by introducing a so-called “recovered polar order” $\mathbf{a}'(r)|\mathbf{n}(r)$ of chain tangents and accompanying additional chain beginnings and endings of strength $\pm 2$ corresponding to virtual backfold cuts, Fig. 2 (left). In brief, let us assign, arbitrarily but globally, an arrow to the director (this is possible in the absence of topological disclinations) to get a nematic vector $\mathbf{m}(r)|\mathbf{n}(r)$. Wherever a chain gets backfolded with respect to the nematic director, we make a virtual (imaginary) cut in it, thus creating a chain ending and beginning that coincide. Now we reverse those chain segments between the virtual cuts that are opposite to $\mathbf{m}$, thereby also swapping the identities of the beginnings and endings of those segments, as in Fig. 2 (left). While physically there is no change whatsoever, the result of this formal procedure is a well-defined recovered polar order $\mathbf{a}'(r)|\mathbf{m}(r)$ of chain tangents and new virtual beginnings ($+2$ sources) and endings ($-2$ sinks), separated in the directions upstream and downstream with respect to $\mathbf{m}$. In equilibrium, these new sources still average to zero, as do the physical beginnings (+1) and endings (−1) of the chains.

A rigorous conservation law for the recovered polar order can now be written as

$$\nabla \cdot (\rho_{\text{a}} \mathbf{a}') = \Delta \rho^{ \pm 2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where the source $\Delta \rho^{ \pm 2} = \rho^+ - \rho^- + 2 \rho^{+2} - 2 \rho^{-2}$, besides mismatching physical chain ends, now contains also a contribution from mismatching densities $\rho^{+2}$ and $\rho^{-2}$ of up and down chain backfold virtual cuts. Here we present the first direct evidence for the relevance of this suggestion, employing extensive Monte Carlo (MC) simulations of a “soft” model of worm-like chains (WLCs) and tracing the signal of the constraint eqn (3) expressed in terms of the recovered polar order $\mathbf{a}'$. With that, we show that the semiflexibility of the polymer chain can be consistently taken into account on the macroscopic level and hairpins can be rigorously incorporated as sources in the continuity constraint on the macroscopic fields.

2 Free energy of combined sources

We first present the prerequisites needed for a lean description of the sources, which brings about a minimum number of additional parameters and does not introduce any additional variables. Such first-step asceticism is an intentional convenience and does not mean that subsequent extensions and refinements are ruled out.
Since in an apolar system there is no distinction between chain beginnings and endings (≡chain ends), we can without loss of generality consider the deviations of the densities of both of these chain end types from the equilibrium value $\rho_0^+ = \rho_0^-$ to be symmetric,†

$$\rho^+ - \rho_0^+ = -(\rho^- - \rho_0^-) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \rho^\pm,$$

(4)

$$\rho^{2+} - \rho_0^{2+} = -(\rho^{2-} - \rho_0^{2-}) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \rho^{2\pm},$$

(5)

where an analogous statement, eqn (5), holds for up and down backfolds with the equilibrium density $\rho^{2+}_0 = \rho^{2-}_0 = \frac{1}{2} \rho_0^±$. This does not imply in any way that the number of backfolds per chain should be even. It just reflects the symmetry-based facts that (i) in a homogeneous equilibrium system the number of up and down backfolds is equal on average, that (ii) the deviations $\rho^{2+} - \rho_0^{2+}$ and $\rho^{2-} - \rho_0^{2-}$ from the homogeneous distributions are equally costly, (iii) that in the source of the continuity equation, eqn (3), $\rho^{2+} - \rho_0^{2+}$ is equivalent to $-(\rho^{2-} - \rho_0^{2-})$ (excess of up backfolds has the same effect as shortfall of down backfolds), and (iv) that we will not distinguish between these two types of sources. As long as $\Delta \rho^{\pm}$ is much smaller than $\rho^{\pm}_0$, this distinction plays no role.

Describing the chain ends and the backfold cuts as two types of free noninteracting particles (two ideal gases), the free-energy cost of their nonequilibrium distribution is entropic,‡

$$\Delta f(\Delta \rho^\pm, \Delta \rho^{2\pm}) = \frac{k_B T}{2} \left[ \frac{(\Delta \rho^\pm)^2}{\rho_0^\pm} + \frac{(\Delta \rho^{2\pm})^2}{\rho_0^{2\pm}} \right].$$

(6)

We want to treat both types of particles on an equal basis and describe the source in eqn (3) by the single variable

$$\Delta \rho^{\pm} = \Delta \rho^\pm + 2 \Delta \rho^{\pm 2},$$

(7)

without considering its breakdown into the two individual contributions. Only in this case the constraint eqn (3) can be taken into account simply by a penalty potential term in the free energy. If one wants to go beyond that, $\Delta \rho^\pm$ and $\Delta \rho^{\pm 2}$ must be considered as additional system variables with the free energy cost eqn (6), while eqn (3) is an additional equation besides that of the free-energy minimization. Including in this case also a general free-energy coupling of both source densities to existing system variables is natural, but already highly detailed.

Considering combined sources, the free-energy density of the total source $\Delta \rho^{\pm}$ is obtained by averaging eqn (6) over all possible realizations eqn (7) of $\Delta \rho^{\pm}$:

$$\Delta f(\Delta \rho^{\pm}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\Delta \rho^\pm d\Delta \rho^{\pm 2} \Delta f(\Delta \rho^\pm, \Delta \rho^{\pm 2})$$

$$\times \mathcal{P}(\Delta \rho^\pm) \mathcal{P}(\Delta \rho^{\pm 2}) \delta(\Delta \rho^\pm + p \Delta \rho^{\pm 2} - \Delta \rho^{\pm})$$

(8)

with $\Delta \rho^{\pm 2} = \Delta \rho^{\pm 2}$ and $p(=2)$ introduced for tractability. Here

$$\mathcal{P}(\Delta \rho^\pm) \propto e^{-\frac{V_1(\Delta \rho^\pm)^2}{2\rho_0^\pm}}$$

(9)

and analogously for $\mathcal{P}(\Delta \rho^{\pm 2})$, $V_1$ is an arbitrary volume (e.g. the coarse-graining volume) not appearing in the final result and the normalization is

$$1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\Delta \rho^\pm d\Delta \rho^{\pm 2} \mathcal{P}(\Delta \rho^\pm) \mathcal{P}(\Delta \rho^{\pm 2})$$

$$\times \delta(\Delta \rho^\pm + p \Delta \rho^{\pm 2} - \Delta \rho^{\pm}).$$

(10)

The result of the integration eqn (8) is

$$\Delta f(\Delta \rho^{\pm}) = \frac{k_B T}{2} \left( \frac{1}{V_1} + \frac{(\Delta \rho^{\pm 2})^2}{\rho_0^\pm + 4 \rho_0^{2\pm}} \right),$$

(11)

where the first, constant term $k_B T(2/V_1)$ can be omitted—it arises due to the fact that the state $\Delta \rho^{\pm 2} = 0$ can be realized by $\Delta \rho^\pm = -p \Delta \rho^{\pm 2} \neq 0$, which costs energy.

The average free-energy density of the total source $\Delta \rho^{\pm}$ is thus

$$\Delta f(\Delta \rho^{\pm}) = \frac{k_B T}{2} \frac{(\Delta \rho^{\pm 2})^2}{\rho_0^\pm + 4 \rho_0^{2\pm}} = \frac{1}{2} G(\Delta \rho^{\pm 2})^2$$

(12)

and presents a penalty potential with strength $G$ of the continuity constraint eqn (3) for the recovered polar order. We note again that this is the least possible penalty treatment of the sources that however takes into account both chain ends $\Delta \rho^\pm$ as well as chain backfolds $\Delta \rho^{2\pm}$. In this minimal picture, they are merged into a single source, $\Delta \rho^{\pm 2}$. The key implication is the weighted composition of the effective susceptibility $G \propto 1/(\rho_0^\pm + 4 \rho_0^{2\pm})$ from the equilibrium densities, where the contribution of the backfolds $\rho_0^{2\pm}$ is $(\pm 2)^2 = 4$ times that of the ends $\rho_0^\pm$.

Note that in the limit of noninteracting chain ends the distribution of chain lengths is irrelevant—it is just the density of chain ends $\rho_0^\pm$ that matters. Only for monodisperse chains with $N_s$ segments we have simply $\rho_0^\pm = 2p/N_s$. Naturally, broadening the distribution of $N_s$ at fixed $\rho_0^\pm$ and $\rho$ will increase the density of backfolds $\rho_0^{2\pm}$ (unless the persistence length is either very large or very small compared to the chain lengths) and will thus weaken the constraint according to eqn (12). Determining $\rho_0^{2\pm}$ is, however, a separate problem, whereas for the presented macroscopic description $\rho_0^{2\pm}$ is a parameter. In fact, the strength of the constraint is a macroscopic probe of the density of microscopic chain backfolds.
3 Macroscopic description of fluctuations

We neglect fluctuations of the nematic order modulus and expand the coarse-grained segment density \( \rho = \rho_0 + \delta \rho(\mathbf{q}) \) and director \( \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}_0 + \delta \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{q}) \) fields around their equilibrium values \( \rho_0, \mathbf{n}_0 \). Since \( |\mathbf{n}|^2 = 1 \), the director fluctuations \( \delta \mathbf{n} \) are orthogonal to \( \mathbf{n}_0 \). Conforming to the uniaxial symmetry, the Fourier space is set up as \( \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}_\perp + q_n \mathbf{n}_0 \), where \( \mathbf{q}_\perp \) is an arbitrary direction perpendicular to \( \mathbf{n}_0 \). The free-energy contributions of a Fourier mode in the volume \( V \) is \( F_q = F(\mathbf{q})/V \) and

\[
f(q) = \frac{1}{2} G q_n^2 \delta \rho_{0} + q_\perp \delta \mathbf{n}_0 \cdot \mathbf{q} + \frac{1}{2} B(q_n^2 \delta \mathbf{n}_0 \cdot \mathbf{q} - \delta \mathbf{n}_0 \cdot \mathbf{q})^2 + \frac{1}{2} (K_1 q_\perp^2 + K_3 q_\perp^4) \delta n_\perp^2 \tag{13}
\]

where \( \delta n_\perp, \delta n_\parallel \) are the longitudinal (lying in the plane defined by \( \mathbf{n}_0 \) and \( \mathbf{q}_\perp \)) and transverse components with respect to \( \mathbf{q}_\perp \), \( B \), is the compressibility modulus and \( K_{1,2,3} \) are the \{play, twist, bend\} elastic constants. The first term of eqn (13) is exactly the free-energy cost eqn (12) of the total source \( \Delta \rho^{\alpha \beta} \), expressed by the left-hand side of the conservation law eqn (3) for the recovered polar order; here \( \tilde{G} = G(q_\perp^2 \delta \mathbf{n}_0 \cdot \mathbf{q} - \delta \mathbf{n}_0 \cdot \mathbf{q}) \) is the equilibrium magnitude of the recovered polar order.

The theoretical structure factor of the coarse-grained system \( S(Q) = \langle \delta \rho(\mathbf{q}) \delta \rho(-\mathbf{q}) \rangle / N \), where \( N \) is the total number of segments, corresponding to the free-energy eqn (13) is then found to be\(^1\)\(^7\)

\[
S(Q) = k_B T \rho_0 \frac{q_n^2 + (K_1 q_\perp^2 + K_3 q_\perp^4) / \tilde{G}}{B q_\perp^2 + (B \tilde{G} + q_\perp^2)(K_1 q_\perp^2 + K_3 q_\perp^4) / \tilde{G}} \tag{14}
\]

while the longitudinal director fluctuation \( D_L(Q) = \langle \delta n_\perp(Q) \delta n_\perp(-Q) \rangle / N \) is\(^1\)\(^7\)

\[
D_L(Q) = k_B T \rho_0 \frac{q_n^2 + B q_\perp^2}{B q_\perp^2 + (B \tilde{G} + q_\perp^2)(K_1 q_\perp^2 + K_3 q_\perp^4) / \tilde{G}} \tag{15}
\]

The dependence of the structure factor eqn (14) on the reduced susceptibility \( \tilde{G} \) makes it a suitable signal for detecting the constraint eqn (3) and determining its strength from molecular simulation data, Fig. 3. The comparison is restricted to the region of low \( q \) (on the scale of the monomer size), where microscopic simulation and coarse-grained theory should agree.

4 Mesoscopic WLC model and simulations

Validating the predictions of the macroscopic theory with molecular-level computer simulations of polymer nematics\(^25,26\) is challenging, since such simulations must (i) address the long-wavelength limit and (ii) realize different regimes of chain backfolding (hairpin formation). Thus, it is essential to consider large systems containing long polymer chains.\(^27\) We fulfill these requirements benefiting from a recently developed mesoscopic model\(^13\) which describes the polymers as discrete WLCs, Fig. 2 (middle, right).

The modeled system contains \( N \) monodisperse WLCs comprised of \( N \) linearly connected segments of fixed length \( l_0 \). Consecutive segments are subjected to a standard angular potential \( U_b = -u(s) u(s+1) \), where \( u(s) \) is the unit vector along the \( s \)-th segment of the \( i \)-th chain and \( \sigma \) controls the WLC bending stiffness. Non-bonded interactions between segments are introduced via the potential \( U_{nnb} = U(\rho(s,t)) \), \( \rho(s,t) \) is normalized to \( l_0^3 \), choosing \( C_0 = 3 l_0^3/(64 \pi \sigma^6) \). The strength of the isotropic repulsion between the segments is controlled by the parameter \( \kappa \). Nematic alignment is promoted by the anisotropic part of \( U_{nnb} \) which depends on the inner product of tensors \( q[s] = [3u(s) \otimes u(s) - \mathbf{l}]/2 \) quantifying the segmental orientation in the laboratory frame. The strength of these Maier-Saupe-like interactions is controlled by \( \nu \).

Two molecular flexibilities \( \varepsilon = 0 \) and \( \varepsilon = 3.284 k_B T \) are addressed, corresponding to flexible and stiff chains, respectively. In both cases, we consider WLCs with \( N_s = \{32,64,128\} \) segments. We empirically set \( \kappa = 7.58 k_B T \), while \( \nu = 3.33 k_B T \) and 6.66 \( k_B T \) for the stiff and flexible chains, respectively. For this \( \kappa \), the repulsive interactions are strong enough to furnish a stable polymer liquid [positive compressibility\(^28\)] but remain sufficiently “soft” for efficient simulations. Our choices of \( \nu \) lead to stable and
sufficiently deep nematic order in the entire range of considered stiffness parameters: the moduli of the uniaxial quadrupolar order are \( S = [0.652, 0.668, 0.678] \) and \( S = [0.840, 0.842, 0.842] \) for stiff and flexible chains with \( N_s = \{32, 64, 128\} \), respectively, while the corresponding moduli of the recovered polar order are \( \alpha_0^s = [0.865, 0.872, 0.876] \) and \( \alpha_0^f = [0.943, 0.944, 0.944] \); all standard errors are below 0.05%.

We study large nematic monodomains containing \( N = N_s N_c \sim 2^{18} \) segments, Fig. 2 (right). They are equilibrated through MC starting from configurations where all chains are stretched and aligned along the \( z \)-axis of the laboratory frame, having their centers-of-mass randomly distributed. The MC algorithm utilizes standard\(^{30, 31} \) slithering-snake moves, as well as volume fluctuation moves at pressure \( P_0 \theta^3 / (k_B T) = 2.87 \) resulting in system’s volume fluctuations of \( \sim 1\% \). While working in the isothermal–isobaric ensemble it is computationally more expensive, it is preferred to exclude isotropic/nematic coexistence in the entire range of considered parameters.

Since the global nematic direction and the ensemble volume are free to fluctuate, we compute the structure factor \( S(q_i, q_j, q_k) \) of each configuration in the laboratory frame and assign it to a bin representing \( S(q_\perp, q_i) \), where \( q_\perp \) and \( q_\parallel = |q_\parallel| \) are the components parallel and orthogonal to the current nematic director determined as the principal eigenvector of \( \sum_i q_i^s \). With that, \( S(q_\perp, q_i) \) is computed in the director-based 123 frame.\(^{13, 32, 33} \) The principal eigenvector is also used to determine, for each configuration, the modulus of the recovered polar order \( \alpha_0^s \) appearing in the definition of \( \alpha_0^s \) and is then averaged over all recorded configurations. The same is done for the density of segments \( \rho_0 = N/(V) \) (putting \( \ell_0 = l_0 \) in eqn (14), as well as the densities of chain ends \( \rho_0^r \) and backfolds \( \rho_0^f \) in eqn (12). In all cases, block-averaging with block size \( \tau \) is employed, where \( \tau \) is the number of MC steps needed to decorrelate the end-to-end vector of the WLC. Computationally most severe are stiff chains with \( N_s = 128 \) segments, where \( \tau \) was as high as 130,000 and a MC sequence of 48\( \tau \) was reached. In other cases the runs in terms of \( \tau \) were longer.

5 Results & discussion

Large sequences of nematic melt monodomain configurations, accumulated using the efficient soft model, allow for direct validation\(^{14} \) of the macroscopic theory \( \text{via} \) the structure factor eqn (14). We compute\(^{13} \) also the longitudinal director fluctuation \( D_l(q) = \langle \delta n_l(q) \delta n_l(-q) \rangle / N_0 \), eqn (15). For stiff chains, where the constraint eqn (3) is expectedly strong, \( D_l \) shows a characteristic strengthening\(^{1, 13} \) of the effective splay \( (K_1) \) elastic constant, Fig. 4.

The computed \( S(q_\perp, q_i) \) and \( D_l(q_\perp, q_i) \) landscapes, Fig. 3 and 4, are fitted with the theoretical expressions eqn (14) and (15) to extract the parameters \( B, \hat{G}, K_1, K_3 \). Fig. 3 (bottom) shows cross sections of the two-dimensional structure factor fits. For small wave vectors it is verified that \( S^{-1}(q_\perp, q_i) \) is parabolic, while \( S^{-1}(q_\perp, 0) \) is essentially constant, as predicted by eqn (14). The kinks at \( q_\perp \approx \pm 0.5 \) are attributed to microscopic effects not captured by the macroscopic theory, \( \text{e.g.} \) enhanced correlations within single chains or groups of neighboring chains.\(^{35} \)

Using averaged values \( \rho_0 \) and \( \alpha_0^s \) the strength \( \hat{G} \) of the constraint is determined from the fitting parameter \( \hat{G} \) and is plotted in dimensionless form in Fig. 5 as a function of the dimensionless inverse density of chain ends/backfolds as suggested by eqn (12). The average numbers of backfolds per chain are \( \{0.33, 0.58, 1.1\} \) and \( \{11.9, 24.0, 48.2\} \) for stiff and flexible chains with \( N_s = \{32, 64, 128\} \), respectively. The direct comparison with the theoretical line, not involving any fitting parameter, confirms the relevance of the prediction eqn (12), while the agreement of the slopes is especially notable. Moreover, the points corresponding to the flexible chains in Fig. 5 (inset) show a highly reduced splay–density coupling, thus confirming the concept of the recovered polar order and the applicability of the conservation law eqn (3) formulated on its basis, as well as the role of backfolds as sources in this conservation law.

It is hard to overlook the hinted offset of the simulated stiff chain points from the theoretical solid line in Fig. 5. We interpret it as a deviation from the noninteracting gas idealization of the
chain ends/backfolds (≡ particles). It is clear that at least the intra-chain backfolds of near segments are not independent. In fact, the computed end–end, hairpin–hairpin and end–hairpin radial distribution functions (RDFs), Fig. 6, show deviations of various kinds from the ideal gas behavior \( g(r) = 1 \) for \( r \ll l_0 \). The end–end RDFs manifest simple repulsion. In contrast, the hairpin–hairpin RDFs have a complex structure due to contributions from hairpins on the same chain: small distances between sequential backfolds along the chain can assume only specific values, which explains the pronounced spikes (even for the stiff chains). Such small-scale effects, as well as distinguishing between intra- and intermolecular backfolds in eqn (12), are beyond the scope of the present macroscopic theory.

It is, however, sensible to capture the interactions between the particles by an effective free-energy density \( f(\rho^{\pm}) \) of the particle distribution \( \rho^{\pm} \),

\[
f(\rho^{\pm}) = k_B T \rho^{\pm} \ln \frac{\rho^{\pm}}{C} + \frac{1}{2} A (\rho^{\pm})^2,
\]

where the pair-interaction free-energy density is proportional to \((\rho^{\pm})^2\) by definition, while all details of this interaction are contained in a phenomenological second virial coefficient \( A \); \( A > 0 \) stands for an effective repulsion. The first derivative \( p_0 = -V \frac{\partial f}{\partial V} = \rho \frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho} \) determines the “osmotic pressure” \( p_0 \) required to maintain an equilibrium density \( \rho_0^{\pm} \) of particles.

In other words, \( \frac{\partial H}{\partial V} = 0 \) holds in equilibrium, where \( H = Vf + p_0 V \) is the Gibbs free energy. Here \( V' \) represents the volume of an arbitrary portion (as does \( V \)), but (unlike \( V \)) is not included in the differentiation since the number of particles within the portion is considered fixed. Thence, keeping \( V' = V \) constant, the expansion of \( H \) for a nonequilibrium distribution \( \Delta \rho^{\pm}(r) = \rho^{\pm}(r) - \rho_0^{\pm} \) within the portion is

\[
\Delta H = V' \Delta f = V' \frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho^{\pm}} \bigg|_{\rho_0^{\pm}} \Delta \rho^{\pm} + V' \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial (\rho^{\pm})^2} \bigg|_{\rho_0^{\pm}} \frac{1}{2} (\Delta \rho^{\pm})^2.
\]

Since the spatial average of \( \Delta \rho^{\pm} \) is zero, the linear term vanishes and we have

\[
\Delta f(\Delta \rho^{\pm}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial (\rho^{\pm})^2} \bigg|_{\rho_0^{\pm}} (\Delta \rho^{\pm})^2.
\]

Considering in eqn (18) only the first, entropic contribution in eqn (16), the nonequilibrium ideal gas expressions eqn (6) follow. Taking into account also the second, interaction contribution of eqn (16), we finally get

\[
\Delta f(\Delta \rho^{\pm}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{k_B T}{\rho_0^{\pm}} + A \frac{1}{2} (\Delta \rho^{\pm})^2,
\]

which would explain the rather constant positive offset (\( A > 0 \)) of \( G(1/\rho_0^{\pm}) \) observed in Fig. 5 (dashed line) for the set of stiff chains. This repulsive effective interaction makes nonequilibrium excursions \( \Delta \rho^{\pm} \) more expensive, eqn (19), and hence the constraint eqn (3) is stronger. Note, however, that the strength \( A \) of the effective interaction depends on the composition of the particle gas, i.e., the ratio \( \rho_0^{\pm}/\rho_0^{\mp} \) and furthermore that also the RDFs depend on \( N_s \) and other parameters.

### 6 Conclusion

In summary, we have established a consistent macroscopic description of the splay–density coupling in semiflexible main-chain nematic polymers with hairpins, using a vectorial continuity constraint for the recovered polar order of chain tangents and introducing chain backfolds as its new type of sources besides chain ends. In the minimal spirit, we unified both types of sources to a mixture of two ideal gases with fixed composition. Conducting detailed Monte Carlo simulations of nematic monodomain melts of worm-like chains with variable length and flexibility, we demonstrated that the chain backfolding weakens the splay–density coupling. We showed how this weakening can be quantified on the macroscopic level by connecting the strength of the coupling with the macroscopic equilibrium densities of chain ends and backfolds. Refinements and ramifications of this first description are possible in various directions. The possibility of virial corrections has been briefly illuminated. They can be introduced for each type of sources separately to take into account its empirical specifics, in particular the effective interaction between the backfolds of the same chain and an anticipated direct influence of the bending rigidity of the chain on this interaction. It is also possible to introduce the sources as one or more additional field variables and couple them in a phenomenological spirit to the existing system variables by general symmetry-allowed coupling terms.
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Appendix

A Vectorial conservation law

Define the microscopic worm-like chain tangent field

$$j_{\text{mic}}^m(x) = \sum_{x} \int_{x(s)} ds \delta(x - x(s)) t_i(s), \quad (20)$$

where $x(s)$ is the continuous contour of chain $x$ in natural parametrization and $t(s) = dx^2(s)/ds$ is the unit tangent on the chain. For brevity we will be omitting the superscript $a$ parametrization and chain. For brevity we will be omitting the superscript $a$

Define the microscopic worm-like chain tangent field

$$V_{\text{mic}}(x) = \int_{x(s)} ds \frac{dx_i(s)}{ds} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(s)} \delta(x - x(s)) = - \int_{x(s)} ds \frac{dx_i(s)}{ds} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i(s)} \delta(x - x(s)) = - \int_{x(s)} ds \frac{d}{ds} \delta(x - x(s)) = \delta(x - x(0)) - \delta(x - x(L)), \quad (21)$$

where $L$ is the length of the chain.

Coarse-graining (denoted by $\overline{\cdot}$) the microscopic field eqn (20) to a mesoscopic volume $V_0$ centered at $x$ gives the corresponding mesoscopic field

$$j(x) = \overline{j_{\text{mic}}^m(x)} = \frac{1}{V_0} \int_{x(s)\in V_0(x)} ds \frac{1}{L(x)} \int_{x(s)\in V_0(x)} ds \frac{d}{ds} t(s) = \frac{L(x)}{V_0} \int_{x(s)\in V_0(x)} ds \frac{d}{ds} t(s), \quad (22)$$

where $L(x) = \int_{x(s)\in V_0(x)} ds \equiv N(x) \ell_0$ is the total length of the chain within the volume $V_0$, which can be expressed in terms of an arbitrary segment length $\ell_0$ and the number $N$ of these segments within the volume. Hence, the mesoscopic field can be written as

$$j(x) = \rho(x) a(x), \quad (23)$$

where $\rho(x) = N(x)/V_0$ is the mesoscopic volume number density of the segments and

$$a(x) = \frac{1}{L(x)} \int_{x(s)\in V_0(x)} ds \frac{d}{ds} t(s), \quad (24)$$

is the mesoscopic average of $t(s)$, i.e., the polar order of polymer chain tangents.

We apply this coarse-graining procedure to eqn (21) and take into account that $\nabla$ and coarse-graining commute, i.e., $\overline{\delta_{\text{mic}}^m} = \overline{\delta_{\text{mic}}^m} = \overline{\delta_{\text{mic}}}$. The result is an equation for the continuum mesoscopic field—the vectorial conservation law

$$\nabla j = \nabla (\rho a) = \rho' - \rho, \quad (25)$$

where $\rho'(x) = \delta(x - x(0)), \rho(x) = \delta(x - x(L))$ are mesoscopic densities of beginnings and endings of the chains.
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